





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,629	05/31/2000	Samuel A. Cooper	15676-223495	4026
7:	590 01/29/2003			
Daniel C Schulte			EXAMINER	
Kagan Binder, 221 Main St. N			RUTLEDGE, DELLA J	
Suite 200 Stillwater, MN 55082			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/583,629	COOPER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D. Rutledge	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wown in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. λ 119/a	\-(d) or (f)			
•	i priority under 35 0.5.6. § 113(a)-(a) or (i).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §.119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/583,629

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because to it does not provide an adequate disclose of the what the applicant regards as the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The applicant is reminded that the new abstract must be provided on a separate sheet of paper and must contain no more than 150 words (in accord with new Rule 1.72).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2851

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 - 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanada (US 5,843,527) in view of Morimoto (US 5,898,588 and Venkatesh et al. (US 6,074,443).

Sanada has the basic processing apparatus. Morimoto teaches interrupting the wafer manufacturing process if there is a delay or error in one of the processing station. Venkatesh et al. teaches optimizing the control of the wafer manufacturing processing apparatus by sending the wafer into another available station if there is a delay or error One of ordinary skill in the art would use the software instruction and hardware instruction to control the process of Sanada in order to increase throughput and control malfunctions in all stages of the operation.

Response Data

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Rutledge whose telephone number is (703) 308-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:00 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell Adams can be reached on (703) 308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/583,629

Art Unit: 2851

305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

D. Rutledge Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

dr June 18, 2001